

Mr. Speaker, the Knoebel family continues to do a fine job of carrying on their trademark tradition of "fun, food and fantasy," and I wish them all the best.

IN HONOR OF ROBERT I. WEHLING,  
UPON ANNOUNCING HIS RETIRE-  
MENT FROM THE PROCTER &  
GAMBLE COMPANY

### HON. ROB PORTMAN

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, June 27, 2001*

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in tribute to Robert L. Wehling, a good friend and community leader, who will retire on August 10, 2001 from the Procter & Gamble Company in Cincinnati. Bob started with P & G on June 27, 1960 exactly 41 years ago today.

Bob Wehling currently serves as Procter & Gamble's global marketing and government relations officer. He joined the company as a brand assistant, and during his long and distinguished career, held various positions including brand manager, advertising manager, and vice president of public affairs. Bob has been a true leader and innovator, developing new approaches to marketing and responsible advertising.

A long-time advocate for quality family entertainment, he co-founded the Family Friendly Programming Forum in 1999, a consortium of major advertisers dedicated to increasing family oriented shows on network television. Bob believed it was possible to have positive programming choices for multigenerations to watch together—and for all to be entertained. In 2000, he was named the most powerful person in marketing by the trade journal Advertising Age. He was recognized for his work in making advertising more efficient as audiences become more fragmented.

His volunteer involvement in the Cincinnati community is legendary. He is particularly well known for his advocacy on behalf of children and his passion for education. His public service has taken him from president of the Wyoming, Ohio School Board in 1986 to more recent positions as Co-Chair of the Ohio Education Improvement Council and membership on the National Commission on Teaching and America's Future. Bob has capably led numerous local organizations, including the Greater Cincinnati March of Dimes, the Greater Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce, the National Advertising Council Board, and Beech Acres For the Love of Kids Parenting Conference.

All of us in Cincinnati congratulate Bob on his outstanding career with Procter & Gamble, thank him for his many years of dedicated community service, and wish him well in the new challenges to come.

TRIBUTE TO JOHN AND MARY  
KOLIMAS

### HON. WILLIAM O. LIPINSKI

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, June 27, 2001*

Mr. LIPINSKI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to John and Mary Kolimas who recently celebrated their fiftieth wedding anniversary on June 16, 2001.

John and Mary represent the epitome of married life and family values. They have raised six wonderful children—Mamie, Chris, Bob, Barb, Rich, and Paul. I can attest firsthand to their ability as parents; their son Paul is a former employee of mine and a man I have great respect for. John and Mary have also been blessed with nine beautiful grandchildren: Nicole, Jordan, Kelly, Amie, Cathy, Samantha, Alexandria, Jesenia, and Michael. They also have one deceased grandchild, Elizabeth.

Friends of the couple fondly recall their meeting at a dance in 1948 at St. Stanislaus Bishop and Martyr Catholic Church. They were married at that same church three years later in 1951 by Mary's brother, Father Edwin Karłowicz. Their outstanding devotion to the Catholic Church has continued throughout their marriage.

Both John and Mary attended St. Stanislaus Bishop and Martyr Catholic Grammar School. John graduated from Foreman High School, where he was class president. He served in the Navy for two years, and then attended Loyola University in Chicago under the GI Bill. Mary graduated from Holy Academy High School.

The couple was surrounded by seventy-five relatives and friends for mass and a joyous reception at the Rosewood West Restaurant on Saturday, June 16. Mary's brother, Father Edwin Karłowicz, presided over the mass along with Father John Sayaya. In attendance for the celebration were Mary's four sisters: Therese, Kay, Janet, and Jean; and John's sisters: Helen, Bernice, and Emily. The group enjoyed a video presentation of pictures and music from the couple's fifty years together.

I have the highest level of respect for devoted couples like John and Mary. Their ability to love and raise children serves as a model for all of us to follow. I encourage my colleagues to join me in celebrating the fiftieth wedding anniversary of John and Mary and the strong family values they represent.

ARE PRODUCTION CONTROLS  
DESIRABLE FOR AGRICULTURE?

### HON. DOUG BEREUTER

OF NEBRASKA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, June 27, 2001*

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Speaker, as the House prepares to consider the next Farm Bill, this Member commends to his colleagues the following analysis by Roy Frederick, a highly respected public policy specialist in the Department of Agricultural Economics at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. Dr. Frederick's analysis examines the pros and cons of production controls for agriculture and provides helpful insights on this difficult issue.

[From the Nebraska State Paper]

ARE PRODUCTION CONTROLS DESIRABLE FOR  
AGRICULTURE?

(By Roy Frederick)

LINCOLN—You can count on it. One of the more contentious items in the upcoming farm bill debate will be whether we should return to production controls in a new law.

Set-asides and other land-idling schemes were a part of most every farm bill from 1933 through 1990. But passage of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act in

1996 broke the mold. Under current law, farmers are not required to take land out of production as a precondition to receiving supports from the federal government.

Critics say that the lack of a supply-adjustment mechanism in the 1996 act is a serious flaw. Prices for all the major crops grown in Nebraska have been lackluster since mid-1998. Why not spur prices higher by restricting bushels offered to the marketplace? It seems like a logical question that deserves an answer.

Supporters of the current system respond that commodities are produced and marketed around the world. Any attempt to reduce U.S. production might be met by increased production elsewhere. Some livestock feeders also wouldn't be happy with the prospect of higher feed costs. Then there's the matter of how agribusinesses feel about it. Many survive on the basis of volume; the more acres in production, the better it is for farm-related businesses.

Recently, formal studies by agricultural economists at the University of Maryland and Iowa State University examined the land-idling question in greater depth.

In the first study, the focus was on inefficiencies caused by taking land out of production. That is, not only may land be taken out of its highest and best use, but other inputs, such as machinery and equipment, may be underused as well. The estimated cost to producers and consumers of a modest land retirement scheme is \$2 billion to \$4 billion a year, the study found.

The Iowa State study assumed that land planted to all major crops in the United States was reduced by 10 percent. Moreover, that reduction remained in place for eight years. At the end of the period, prices for corn and soybeans would be 13 percent higher and 6 percent higher, respectively, than if the idling had not occurred. So far, so good.

However, the authors of the latter study point out two big caveats. First, with 10 percent fewer acres, total revenue declines by whatever the revenue would have been on acres taken out of production. More importantly, if producers do what they've done in the past, they will attempt to increase production on the remaining 90 percent of land left in production. To the extent they are successful, price increases of the magnitude suggested above may not be realized. The authors conclude that the price impact of a 10 percent reduction in planted acreage is probably overstated.

A TRIBUTE TO REVEREND LUIS  
CENTENO

### HON. ROBERT A. BRADY

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, June 27, 2001*

Mr. BRADY of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize Luis Centeno, the founder of Proclaimers of Hope Ministries, a faith-based recovery and addiction prevention program in West Kensington, Philadelphia.

Reverend Centeno, who also is the pastor at Bethel Temple Church, was recently chosen to receive the nation's highest honor for community health leadership—a 2001 Community Health Leader award from The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. The distinction, conferred annually on only 10 people nationwide, includes a \$100,000 award to continue his work.

Reverend Centeno saw first hand the ravaging effects of addiction on individuals and